Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/663,027   First Named Applicant: G. Rosner
Examiner: Alan S. Chen   Art Unit: 2182   Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection

Tentative Participants:
(1) David W. Victor   (2) Alan S. Chen
(3) _____   (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: May 8, 2006 **Proposed Time:** 2:00 (PM) (EST)

Type of Interview Requested:
(1) ■ Telephonic   (2) □ Personal (3) □ Video Conference

Exhibit to be shown or demonstrated: □ Yes   ■ No
If yes, provide a brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Sec. 101	21-30	_____	□	□	□
(2) Sec. 102	1, 2, 8-12, 18-22, 28-30	Sano	□	□	□

□ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:

For Sec. 101 rejection, propose amending preamble to say "A device implementing code for processing....., wherein the code causes operations to be performed......". Propose changing preamble of dependent claims to recite "The device of ....".

With respect to claim 1, want to discuss why cited col. 12 of Sano does not disclose information indicating a first and second buffers for use with each descriptor. The elements 86 and 92 are used to store descriptors, but claims require buffers for use with each descriptor, not to store descriptor but to store packets assigned to the descriptors. Also, requirement of updating information for a descriptor to indicate second buffer before completing processing of packet in first buffer not disclosed.

For claim 2, want to discuss why cited FIG. 8 does not disclose indicating a first buffer associated with descriptor is available. Cited block 124 mentions updating by setting end of packet (EOP) bit, not indicating first buffer is available for assignment to a descriptor.

An Interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:
This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).
This Application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

/David Victor/ _____   _____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)   (Examiner/SPE Signature)